



Local Evaluation 21 Report for Actionville

The Local Evaluation 21 self-assessment of your municipality's local process and progress for sustainable development has been successfully completed. Please find the results below.

Section 1 - Bar Charts

The bar charts are a graphic display of your score in each of the 11 evaluation criteria:

- *Chart A: Your overall score - total and by criteria
- *Chart B: Comparison of your score with the average score of all cities in the same population range
- *Chart C: Comparison of your score with the average score of all cities of your country




LOCAL EVALUATION 21

Self-Assess your Local Process for Sustainable Development

Local Evaluation 21 Report for Actionville

Chart A: Overall Score



- 0 Total score
- i Local Relevance
- ii Political commitment
- iii Resources
- iv The Local Action Plan for Sustainable Development
- v Implementation Management
- vi Participation
- vii Partnership
- viii Awareness Raising + Training
- ix Stability
- x Integrated Approach
- xi Progress



Section 2 - Detailed Feedback

Details on your results for the 11 evaluation criteria are presented in text format below. Each criterion is briefly described and feedback on the municipality's results is provided that is based on the local authority input. The stakeholder organisation input is represented by an aggregated stakeholder comment for each criterion (please remember: for reasons of confidentiality, a minimum number of 2 responses from stakeholder organisations are required in order to be featured).

i - Local Relevance

In a local process for sustainable development, awareness of local problems and circumstances is of great importance in preparing sustainable solutions.

Your local assessment is not sufficiently detailed to ensure that the outcome of the process is relevant to the local situation. Issues that are felt to have a high priority within your locality are not adequately addressed by your local action plan.

ii - Political commitment

Commitment on a political level has been demonstrated as a key factor for success in driving forward local processes for sustainable development.

There seems to be only weak political commitment to linking your local work to the broader international movement and recognised principles of sustainable development. Consider that your council backing may not be strong enough to ensure implementation of more sustainable local practices. The level of political responsibility assigned has a potential to create credibility vis-a-vis stakeholder organisations and the public. Too low a level of political responsibility may limit the impact of the local process.